

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CON APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRMATION NO. 10/695,300 10/27/2003 Jai K. Baek 212/536 4185 EXAMINER 23371 7590 07/12/2004 CROCKETT & CROCKETT FREAY, CHARLES GRANT 24012 CALLE DE LA PLATA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 400 LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653

3746 DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b>N</b>
	10/695,300	BAEK, JAI K.	N) V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles G Freay	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	4 7 7	
<b></b> ,, ,	action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			,
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority united to a second of the (1)		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
		• • •	
		• • •	
Attachment(s)	Λ <b>Π</b> Δ	(DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/2003.	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTC	D-152)

Art Unit: 3746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 4 "upper" should be inserted before "inwardly" in order to agree with line 11 and the rest of the dependant claims. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-10, 13-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McCombs et al (USPN 6,186,477).

Art Unit: 3746

McCombs et al disclose a valve having a valve body (20) of a non-conformable material having a bore extending therethrough, the bore extends in the direction from the inlet port (14) to the outlet port (15). There is an upper inwardly extending flange (unnumbered but near reference numeral 24) whose bottom (left surface in drawing) serves as a valve seat, a plunger extends through the bore and has an upper small diameter segment (50), a lower large diameter segment (40) and a disk (43). The portion (40) is of smaller diameter than the disk and a biasing spring (44) surrounds it. The upper portion has an annular groove of smaller diameter than the rest of the upper portion and holds a sealing ring (46). The valve is covered by a resilient housing (12).

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 11, 14-16, 19, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Combs (USPN 5,364,070).

Crow disclose a valve having a valve body (5) of a non-conformable material having a bore extending therethrough, the bore extends in the direction of the inlet port (15) and the outlet port (20). There is an upper inwardly extending flange (85) whose bottom serves as a valve seat, a plunger extends through the bore and has an upper small diameter segment (70), a lower large diameter segment (120) and a disk (115). The upper portion has an annular groove (110) of smaller diameter than the rest of the upper portion and holds a sealing ring (105). The lower segment has a frustoconical surface (100) which corresponds to the valve seat.

Art Unit: 3746

Claims 1 and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Jeory (USPN 6,234,450).

Jeory disclose a valve having a valve body (16) of a non-conformable material having a bore (20) extending therethrough, the bore extends in the direction of the inlet port (35) and the outlet port (22). There is an upper inwardly extending flange (28) whose bottom (right surface in the figure) serves as a valve seat, a plunger extends through the bore and has an upper small diameter segment (24), a lower large diameter segment (26) and a disk (26). The lower segment has a frustoconical surface which corresponds to the valve seat. There is an extension (surrounding rod 34) which is surrounded by a biasing spring (32).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11, 12, 25 and 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCombs et al in view of Jeory.

As set forth in the above rejection McCombs et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed but does not disclose that the lower portion has a frustoconical shape. Jeory discloses a similar spring biased valve whose lower segment has a frustoconical shape. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 3746

ordinary skill in the art to make the lower portion of McCombs et al have a frustoconical shape in order to an efficient sealing surface which wears correctly and maintains seal over time.

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, and 6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,409,486. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent are directed to shoe, pump and valve combination while the claims of the instant application are directed to the valve only. The structure of the valve in the claims is the same. One of ordinary skill in the art, have read the claims of the patent, would understand that the structure set forth for the valve is a functioning valve and could be used in other situations (not in combination with a shoe or a pump) where a valve is required.

Art Unit: 3746

Claims 1-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-54 of U.S. Patent No. 6,638,038. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent are directed to a pump and valve combination while the claims of the instant application are directed to the valve only. The structure of the valve in the claims is the same. One of ordinary skill in the art, having read the claims of the patent, would understand that the structure set forth for the valve is a functioning valve and could be used in other situations (not in combination with a pump) where a valve is required.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shames et al and Gallagher disclose spring biased valves.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles G Freay whose telephone number is 703-308-0639. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 703-308-2675. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*ଠ*ୁମଧୀଟେ G Freay Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746

CGF July 10, 2004